

Arboviral Surveillance and Response Capacity Survey 2021

Section I: Respondent details

1. Country

Germany

2. Respondent/person to be contacted for clarification, if needed (last name, first name, e-mail address)

3. Professional title and affiliation

4. Date (dd/mm/yyyy)

14/8/2021

Section II: Arboviral disease surveillance system

5. Which arboviruses have circulated in your country at any time since the year 2000? This refers only to arboviruses with autochthonous i.e., local mosquito-borne transmission.

Chikungunya	Not selected
Dengue	Not selected
Yellow fever	Not selected
Zika	Not selected
Other	WNV

6. Do you have any written arbovirus surveillance and control plan(s) and/or guideline(s) for your country?

Yes. We do not have arbovirus-specific guidelines, but arboviruses are included within general surveillance guidelines.

6b. For which of the following arboviruses do you have written surveillance and control plans for your country? Please choose all that apply.

Chikungunya	Not selected
Dengue	Not selected
Yellow fever	Not selected
Zika	Not selected

6c. Please upload surveillance and control plan(s) or protocol(s), or guideline(s) 0 file(s) submitted

7. Is there a specific national programme for arboviral diseases surveillance or is it integrated in another programme? Please select the appropriate answer:

Integrated in another programme

7b. Please specify the programme into which arboviral diseases is integrated

General notification requirement for human infectious diseases

8. For which level of the health structure are individual and aggregated data available? (Select all relevant levels)

	Individual level	Aggregated
Primary health care level	Not selected	Not selected
District level	Yes	Not selected
Regional level	Yes	Not selected
National level	Yes	Not selected

9. What are the tools used for recording case data for surveillance purposes? Select all that apply

National	Électronic
State/provincial	Électronic
District	Mixed methods

10. Which training has been provided to the staff working on arboviral disease surveillance data?

One-time basic training on data capture and analysis (MS Excel, MS Access, EpiInfo) and/or geographic information systems (GIS)	Not selected
Repeated/continuing basic training on data capture, analysis, and/or GIS	Yes
One-time advanced training on statistical software for data analysis (e.g. STATA, R, SAS, Tableau, etc)) and GIS	Not selected
Repeated/continuing training on advance statistical software for data analysis (eg STATA, R, SAS, etc) and GIS	Not selected
No training	Not selected

11. Is reporting mandatory for any arboviral disease cases in your country?

Yes

11b. For which of the following arboviral disease cases is reporting mandatory in your country?

Chikungunya	Mandatory reporting of confirmed cases only
Dengue	Mandatory reporting of confirmed cases only
Yellow fever	Mandatory reporting of confirmed cases only
Zika (non-congenital)	Mandatory reporting of confirmed cases only
Zika (congenital)	Mandatory reporting of confirmed cases only
Other	Mandatory reporting of confirmed cases only

11c. For which other arboviral diseases is reporting mandatory?

1	All arboviruses causing human disease.	Confirmed cases only
---	--	----------------------

11d. Please upload document(s) containing surveillance case definitions used for reporting of arboviral diseases

0 file(s) submitted

12. In the last 2 years, did your country conduct national epidemiological surveillance for human cases of arboviral disease?

Yes

12b. How frequently are surveillance data reported to the national level?

within days to daily

12c. What type of national epidemiological surveillance was conducted?

Primarily passive

For reference, here are the relevant definitions:

Active surveillance is defined as having dedicated systems and staff that routinely and with effort survey for cases of disease or detection of vectors and associated pathogens by the public health department.

Passive surveillance is defined as having a reporting system where physicians, laboratories, mosquito control districts, academic institutions or others routinely report cases of disease or detection of vectors and associated pathogens to the public health department.

12d. If available, please upload the most recent report(s) on arboviral surveillance in humans

0 file(s) submitted

13. Does your country provide regular training sessions for healthcare workers on notification of *Aedes*-borne arboviral diseases?

Yes : Training on arboviruses (background knowledge), case notification, case investigations etc. is included into regular surveillance trainings. Potential control strategies are discussed.

14. What do the arboviral disease surveillance staff perceive as factors contributing to the a) success and b) barriers/challenges to arboviral disease surveillance in humans?

- a) (- Germany is climatically only marginally suitable for ongoing transmission of for *Aedes*-transmitted arboviruses)(- capable *Aedes* vectors are only present in smaller parts of the country, yet) - there are no financial barriers for diagnosis of human arbovirus infections (near universal health care coverage, lab diagnoses without co-pay) - wide availability of laboratories able to diagnosed arboviral infections
- b) • (potential) transmission of arboviruses in Germany is a relatively new threat (*Aedes* vectors not present until recently, WNV not present until recently) and thus local authorities and diagnosing physicians are unfamiliar with the topic, yet. - Local authorities frequently have no recent experience, structures, personnel, budgets for vector surveillance and control. - Physicians may fail to include arboviral infections in differential diagnoses.

Section III: Arbovirus laboratory capacity

15. Is arbovirus diagnostic laboratory testing performed for confirmation of suspected cases in your country? (Please select the applicable option during outbreak periods and during non-outbreak periods, respectively)

Outbreak periods	All suspect cases tested
Non-outbreak periods	Subset of suspect cases tested

15b. On average, for what percentage of suspected arboviral disease cases your country is laboratory confirmatory testing performed? Please indicate for outbreak and non-outbreak periods, respectively

16. In the last two years, were the positive cases of arboviruses confirmed by a national reference laboratory?

Yes, but only for some arboviral infections. Please specify them:

Mainly WNV and more rare/exotic arboviruses. DENV, CHIKV, ZIKV can be diagnosed by peripheral labs.

16b. If your country does not have capacity to type and serotype arboviruses, do you send samples for typing to other countries?

No

17. Overall, what arboviral testing capacity(ies) is(are) available in your country? Please check all applicable boxes

	Antigen testing	IgM antibody testing	IgG antibody testing	Neutralizing antibody testing	Virus isolation	RT-PCR or other nucleic acid amplification test	Viral gene/genome Sequencing
Chikungunya		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dengue	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yellow fever		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Zika		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other							

18. Which additional resources are most needed for your country to perform adequate testing for arboviral diseases? Please describe what would be needed for each checked resource in the adjacent comment field

Additional personnel: Yes

Estimate of number of full-time staff: 3, technical staff , increasing the capacity for NGS and neutralization assays

19. Do you perform virological surveillance on humans, ie, tracking of prevailing genotypes/serotypes? Please select all that apply

Yes, using other acid nucleic tests. Please specify: WNV (sequencing)

19b. Which samples do you use for virological surveillance?

Samples from suspected arboviral diseases routinely notified	Not selected
Samples routinely collected from patients with fever of unknown origin	Yes

19c. For which viruses do you perform virological surveillance? (check all that apply)

Chikungunya virus	Not selected
Dengue viruses	Not selected
Yellow fever virus	Not selected
Zika virus	Not selected
Other	Within surveillance system only WNV

19d. Does your country provide regular training sessions for healthcare workers on arboviruses virological surveillance?

No

20. What do the arboviral diagnostic laboratory staff perceive as factors contributing to the a) success and b) barriers/challenges with respect to laboratory testing for arboviral infections?

a.) seminars, training, higher compensations b.) seminars, training

Section IV: Management of arboviral disease cases

21. Does your country have clinical guidelines for healthcare workers on diagnosis and clinical management of cases and severe cases of *Aedes*-borne arboviral diseases?

No

21b. Please upload the clinical guideline(s) for arboviral disease management

22. Are severe cases of arboviral diseases managed in a special area (part of the hospital, isolation beds)?

No. If so, where are patients with severe disease treated? Please specify in comments field.

General ICU. Isolation facilities are available, if needed.

23. How many hospital beds are available per 100,000 population?

24. Does your country provide regular training sessions for healthcare workers on clinical diagnosis and management of *Aedes*-borne arboviral diseases?

Yes, specific training is provided. If so, please specify:

National reference lab for tropical infections (diploma course DTM)

25. What do the arboviral disease surveillance/clinical staff perceive as factors contributing to the a) success and b) barriers/challenges with respect to case management?

a.) seminars, training, higher compensations b.) a.) seminars, training

Section V: Routine vector surveillance and control

26. Is there a disease programme, agency, or service in charge of arbovirus vector surveillance in your country?

No

27. Which institution/department is in charge of reporting entomologic surveillance data to the national ministry of health/health department? (Check all that apply)

State/provincial health agencies	Not selected
Other national agency	Yes
City/country health departments	Not selected
Local mosquito control districts or similar organisations	Not selected
Universities or academic institutions	Not selected
Private companies	Not selected

28. For the last 2 years, did your country conduct entomologic surveillance for arboviral infections in mosquito vectors?

Yes

28b. Please upload the most recent national vector surveillance report

0 file(s) submitted

28c. Did the entomologic surveillance entail country wide programmes or was it restricted to specific locations?

Country wide

28d. How many sentinel surveillance sites do you have?

35

28e. How often was the surveillance conducted? Please choose one of the following:

weekly

29. Do you conduct adult mosquito surveillance?

Yes

30. Do you conduct larval/pupal mosquito surveillance?

Yes

31. Are trapped mosquitoes identified to species?

Yes

32. Does your country either calculate minimum infection rates (MIR) for any *Aedes*-borne arboviruses with your mosquito data or receive such data from other agencies? Please choose only one of the following:

No

33. Which laboratories performed testing for arboviruses on mosquito pools collected in your country in the last two years? (check all that apply)

National public health laboratory	Not selected
State/provincial/regional public health laboratory	Not selected
Local health department laboratory	Not selected
University or academic institution	Not selected
Local MCD (if different from county health dep't)	Not selected
Mosquito surveillance done, but no testing done on mosquito pools	Not selected
Not applicable (no mosquito surveillance done)	Not selected
Other	federal institute for animal health

34. Is there a record of *Aedes aegypti* or *Aedes albopictus* being found in your country in the past 5 years? Please choose only one of the following

Yes, only *Aedes albopictus*

34b. Please describe the potential public health threat from *Aedes aegypti* in your country

34c. Please describe the potential public health threat from *Aedes albopictus* in your country

Aedes albopictus populations are restricted to few sites and do not yet pose a significant threat

35. Over the past two years, did your country use any of the following vector control methods in local jurisdictions (either using government staff and resources, or subcontracting to a different entity to do so)? Please select all that apply

Adulticiding (insecticide application against adult mosquitoes)	Not selected
Larviciding	Yes
Insect growth regulators (eg , pyriproxyfen)	Not selected
Wolbachia method	Not selected
Sterile insect release	Yes
None	Not selected

35b. Would your country have conducted or financially supported adulticiding/larviciding or source reduction activities in the last two years if sufficient funding were available?

35c. Which adulticides and/or larvicides (brand and product name) were used?

36. Does your country provide regular training sessions for staff in charge of vector control and vector surveillance?

No

37. For the last two years, did your country have a plan for mosquito-borne disease control that includes a threshold (eg, level of vector mosquito abundance or minimum infection rate) that would result in a recommendation for mosquito adulticiding/other mosquito reduction measures?)

No – do not have a formal plan that includes adulticiding to control mosquito-borne diseases

37b. Which indicator(s) is(are) used as threshold(s)?

38. Overall, are data on any of the following arboviral outbreak risk factors routinely collected and analysed? (Select all that apply)

House Index	Not selected
Breteau Index	Not selected
Container Index	Not selected
Temperatures	Not selected
Rainfall	Not selected
Migration of a non-immune population	Not selected
None	Yes

39. Is there a surveillance system in place for monitoring *Aedes* resistance to the insecticide(s) used?

No

40. What do the vector surveillance staff perceive as factors contributing to the a) success and b) barriers/challenges with respect to vector surveillance and control in the country?

Section VI: Animal surveillance

41. During the last 2 years, did your country conduct national epidemiological surveillance for arboviral disease in animals (eg, epizootic surveillance for yellow fever in endemic areas)?

Yes

41b. How often was the animal surveillance conducted?

irregularly; Ill/dead birds and horses investigated for WNV

41c. What type of surveillance was conducted in animals?

Combination of active and passive

41d. Please upload a report on the animal surveillance

0 file(s) submitted

42. Does your country (or local jurisdictions within the country) perform sentinel animal surveillance or epizootic surveillance, eg, for yellow fever in nonhuman primates in endemic regions?

Yes

42b. For which viruses is sentinel surveillance conducted and in which animal species?

42c. Please upload the most recent report(s) on sentinel animal surveillance
0 file(s) submitted

Section VII: Community sensitization and participation

43. Does your country have a community outreach program that also covers arboviral diseases?

No

43b. What entity(ies) is(are) in charge of the outreach program in your country?

43c. What is the geographical coverage of the outreach program in your country?

43d. Is the community outreach/social mobilization program sufficiently funded to cover staff time, prevention and outreach activities as needed?

43e. Which resources would help ensure adequate capacity?

44. Did your national arboviral disease program issue notifications to the public about local transmission risk and/or possible vector-control activities (eg larviciding, adulticiding, community mobilization and participation, etc) as a prevention message for arboviral diseases within last 2 years? (Check all that apply)

	During outbreaks	During non-outbreak periods
Issued by national public health agency	Not selected	Yes
Issued by state/local health agencies	Yes	Not selected
No risk in the past two years	Not selected	Not selected
No notifications even though risk was present	Not selected	Not selected

44b. Which means does your program use for community sensitization, mobilisation and acceptance of interventions in your country? (Check all that apply)

Press releases to electronic and printed media	Yes
Public service announcements on television or radio	Not selected
Passive distribution of informational brochures	Not selected
Active distribution of informational brochures	Not selected
Town, community, or neighborhood meetings	Not selected
Posting information on the home page of your agency's website	Not selected
Social media outlets (Facebook, Twitter, etc)	Not selected
Door-to-door outreach in selected locations	Not selected
Participation in community clean-ups	Not selected
Modification of messages for all local languages	Not selected
Other	Locally also other channels.

45. Does your country provide regular training sessions for staff in charge of community sensitization, mobilisation and acceptance of interventions dedicated to control arboviral diseases?

No

46. What do the community outreach staff perceive as factors contributing to the a) success and b) barriers/challenges with respect to community participation

Section VIII: Preparedness for arboviral outbreaks/epidemics

47. Is there either a surveillance and outbreak response committee in your country, or a steering committee for that purpose?

No

48. Does your country have a contingency plan to organize healthcare services during an outbreak (including outbreaks of arboviral diseases)?

I don't know

48b. Please upload the contingency plan

49. Are there defined or established criteria for declaring an outbreak of arboviral disease outbreak in your country?

No

50. Do you have established collaborations with national/regional research institutions / international agencies that are planned to be activated in case of arboviral outbreak?

Yes. If so, please specify institutions/agencies in the comments field:

Institutions/authorities engaged in vector control activities, reference labs, Robert Koch Institute may be asked by local authorities for assistance.

51. What vector control interventions are deployed in case of an emergency?

states decide, but mainly no regulations; also, no national regulations

52. For the last 2 years, which of the following government levels had an emergency fund or a specified emergency funding mechanism for arbovirus outbreak response?

National level	Yes
State/local level	Not selected
None	Not selected

53. Does your country provide regular training sessions for staff/committee in charge of preparedness for arboviral outbreaks/epidemics?

No

54. What do the arboviral disease surveillance staff perceive as factors contributing to the a) success and b) barriers/challenges with respect to preparedness of arboviral diseases epidemics in your country?

See above.

Section IX: Arboviral disease surveillance data

55. Please provide total number of cases and deaths for the following arboviral diseases from 2015 to 2020 (if available).

	Dengue	Chikungunya	Yellow fever	Zika
2015 Cases	725	110	0	2
2015 Deaths	0	0	0	0
2016 Cases	957	74	0	222
2016 Deaths	0	0	0	0
2017 Cases	634	33	0	69
2017 Deaths	0	0	0	0
2018 Cases	613	26	3	18
2018 Deaths	0	0	1	0
2019 Cases	1176	88	0	11
2019 Deaths	0	0	0	0
2020 Cases	205	28	1	6
2020 Deaths	0	0	0	0

(NA = Not Available)

55b. Were cases of other mosquito-borne arboviruses, not listed in the previous question, reported in your country from 2015-2020?

Yes

55c. Please select any of the following other mosquito-borne viruses that have been reported in your country from 2015-2020

Japanese encephalitis	Yes
West Nile	Yes

55d. Please provide total number of cases and deaths due to each of the following other arboviruses that you selected from 2015-2020

	Japanese encephalitis	West Nile
2015 Cases	0	0
2015 Deaths	0	0
2016 Cases	1	0
2016 Deaths	0	0
2017 Cases	0	0
2017 Deaths	0	0
2018 Cases	1	11
2018 Deaths	0	0
2019 Cases	0	12
2019 Deaths	0	0
2020 Cases	0	23
2020 Deaths	0	1

56. Please provide the number of cases of locally acquired, mosquito-borne *Aedes*-borne arbovirus infections by case classification for 2020 and, if not available, for 2019

	Suspect cases	Probable cases	Confirmed cases	Deaths
Chikungunya	NA	NA	0	0
Dengue	NA	NA	0	0
Yellow Fever	NA	NA	0	0
Zika	NA	NA	0	0

57. Do arbovirus surveillance staff have any perceived reasons for increasing trends in arboviral disease incidence? Check all answers that apply.

Climate change (as evidenced by changes in meteorological data)	Yes
Construction activities	Not selected
Population migration (within the country or between countries)	Not selected
Increased availability of peri-domestic water-bearing containers suitable for mosquito egg deposition	Not selected

Section X: Surveillance staffing

58. During 2019 (prior to the Covid-19 pandemic), indicate below the number of arbovirus surveillance staff at the national level.

	Number of personnel
Clinicians	0
Epidemiologists	2
Laboratorians	4
Entomologists/ vector control specialists	2
Support staff (administration; logistics; other)	10

59. Indicate below how many total staff persons are needed at the national level in your country to achieve full epidemiology and laboratory capacity* to conduct arbovirus surveillance.

60. Optional comments to explain responses to questions 58 and 59 above

More staff on local and regional levels, but numbers unknown. Understaffing not really a problem.

61. The national health authority/ministry of health has access to expertise in clinical management of arboviruses (Check all that apply)

Within the ministry of health (eg, public health medical officers, clinicians in state hospitals)	Not selected
Through other national agency with regulatory authority	Yes
Through academic institution(s)	Yes
Private hospitals	Yes
Does not have access	Not selected

62. The national health authority/ministry of health has access to expertise in arbovirus epidemiology (Check all that apply)

Within the ministry of health	Not selected
Through other national agency with regulatory authority	Yes
Through academic institution(s)	Yes
Does not have access	Not selected

63. The national health authority/ministry of health has access to expertise in arbovirus laboratory diagnosis (Check all that apply)

Within the ministry of health (e.g., public health laboratory scientists)	Not selected
Through other national agency with regulatory authority	Yes
Through academic institution(s)	Yes
Does not have access	Not selected

64. The national health authority/ministry of health has access to expertise in entomology (Check all that apply)

Within the ministry of health	Not selected
Through other national agency with regulatory authority	Yes
Through academic institution(s)	Yes
Does not have access	Not selected

65. Optional comments to explain responses to any of Questions 61-64

Section XI: Survey conclusion

66. If you have any further comments to add regarding arbovirus surveillance and control in your country, including whether arboviruses other than *Aedes*-borne arboviruses are of higher priority, please do so in the text field below

Virtually all cases of DENV, CHIKV, ZIKV, YFV mentioned above are travel associated (few exceptions are isolated instances of lab accidents or needle pricks in the clinical setting, vaccine-associated disease with YF, not mosquito transmission). We DO have autochthonous transmission by mosquitos of WNV. Thus this is more of a priority than theoretical risk of autochthonous Aedes-borne arboviruses, at the moment. Any outbreak of the latter of course would change this.